


Q4 What value are the following features of the Claverham landscape to you?

Answered: 286 Skipped: 6



	High	Moderate	Low	Total	Weighted Average
Open farmland	91.23% 260	8.42% 24	0.35% 1	285	2.91
Quiet lanes	88.81% 254	11.19% 32	0.00% 0	286	2.89
The moors and rhynes	77.74% 220	19.43% 55	2.83% 8	283	2.75
Ancient hedgerows	75.35% 214	21.13% 60	3.52% 10	284	2.72
Stone walls	64.54% 182	29.08% 82	6.38% 18	282	2.58


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Sportfields	1/27/2016 4:06 PM
2	Old buildings	1/25/2016 11:09 AM
3	footpaths	1/23/2016 2:08 PM
4	Old building	1/22/2016 12:48 PM
5	Claverham House	1/19/2016 7:48 PM
6	open views - all around from upstairs windows	1/18/2016 11:53 AM
7	trees	1/16/2016 2:02 PM
8	Mature trees	1/16/2016 1:11 PM
9	Peace and quiet	1/16/2016 12:57 PM
10	Claverham Green Pond, Some stone stiles	1/16/2016 11:32 AM
11	Public Footpaths	1/15/2016 11:34 PM
12	Views	1/15/2016 12:33 PM
13	Claverham House	1/15/2016 12:21 PM
14	Green Belt Areas	1/15/2016 11:30 AM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

15	Views to Cadbury hill and its access	1/15/2016 10:23 AM
16	Footpaths across open countryside	1/15/2016 10:16 AM
17	Woodlands	1/14/2016 6:51 PM
18	Footpaths	1/14/2016 3:43 PM
19	Country lifestyle	1/14/2016 1:25 PM
20	general village life	1/13/2016 8:36 PM
21	Open spaces for young and old, fine views to Weston, Clevedon, Rhodyate Hill, Kings Wood.	1/12/2016 8:18 PM
22	Countryside atmosphere	1/12/2016 7:29 PM
23	View of Claverham House	1/12/2016 11:52 AM
24	Village character	1/11/2016 5:23 PM
25	The older houses and farms	1/10/2016 5:52 PM
26	the ability to safely enjoy the countryside, and blackberries and sloes unpoluted by vehicle fumes.	1/6/2016 4:26 PM
27	Dark skies at night	1/6/2016 5:53 AM
28	footpaths	1/5/2016 7:57 PM
29	Mature Trees - High, Historic Buildings - High	1/5/2016 7:52 PM
30	Telephone box	1/5/2016 6:19 PM
31	Ancient footpaths and trees	1/5/2016 10:27 AM
32	The village's place and setting in the landscape, and its status as an infill village to protect it.	1/5/2016 10:17 AM
33	ever changing wildlife such as swans coming through depending on the time of year	1/4/2016 7:22 PM
34	Wild Life	1/4/2016 3:20 PM
35	Trees	1/3/2016 3:24 PM

Q5 How much importance do the following features have for you?

Answered: 285 Skipped: 7




	High	Moderate	Low	Total	Weighted Average
Listed Buildings (e.g. Claverham House, St Barnabas Church, Manor farm etc.)	81.40% 232	15.44% 44	3.16% 9	285	2.78
Other historic farm buildings	62.41% 176	34.04% 96	3.55% 10	282	2.59
Special features such as the red telephone box, old road signs?	53.87% 153	37.32% 106	8.80% 25	284	2.45
Other Religious gathering places	39.01% 110	39.72% 112	21.28% 60	282	2.18

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Height street	1/27/2016 4:07 PM
2	Old road signs	1/25/2016 11:10 AM
3	original houses from early historic records - cottages, corner house streamcross and Clav.rd.(Burnett's)	1/18/2016 12:04 PM
4	132 Claverham rd. 1st house built on Clav. rd. and Whitehouse =Mendip Lodge	1/18/2016 11:31 AM
5	Quaker Meeting House, Free Church	1/16/2016 5:06 PM
6	Old houses	1/15/2016 12:33 PM
7	Chapel at bottom of Chapel Lane, Old Post Office, Meetinghouse & graveyard	1/15/2016 11:31 AM
8	Stone stile near junction of Brockley Way and Meeting House Lane.	1/12/2016 8:23 PM
9	Meeting house	1/11/2016 5:23 PM
10	Restoration of surviving old cast iron "Somerset"directional junction signs around Claverham. - High	1/5/2016 8:01 PM
11	Ancient boundaries	1/5/2016 10:28 AM

Q6 How much importance do the following features of Claverham have for you?

Answered: 285 Skipped: 7



	High	Moderate	Low	Total	Weighted Average
The lanes around the village	90.18% 257	8.77% 25	1.05% 3	285	2.89
Public rights of way	82.39% 234	14.79% 42	2.82% 8	284	2.80
The village Hall	75.53% 213	21.63% 61	2.84% 8	282	2.73
Court-de-Wyck School	60.71% 170	24.64% 69	14.64% 41	280	2.46
The Scout Hut	37.37% 105	37.37% 105	25.27% 71	281	2.12
The Allotments	37.50% 105	36.07% 101	26.43% 74	280	2.11


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Orchards	1/27/2016 4:07 PM
2	Churches	1/23/2016 2:04 PM
3	Peace and solitude	1/22/2016 12:48 PM
4	Post Office	1/22/2016 11:32 AM
5	Open country views	1/15/2016 1:54 PM
6	Small size - high	1/15/2016 10:05 AM
7	quiet roads for dog walking and family cycling with young children	1/14/2016 2:47 PM
8	Very old metal gate near Claverham House at edge of field onto Streamcross	1/12/2016 8:25 PM
9	Poppies, Mels and pizza	1/11/2016 5:24 PM
10	The ending of Claverham indicates that it is a 'hamlet' I wouldn't want Clavermetropolis.	1/6/2016 4:31 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

11	Riding school - great for the kids	1/6/2016 7:30 AM
12	Farm houses and evidence of farming and farm industry	1/4/2016 7:26 PM
13	The last 3 have low importance for me personally but I appreciate others will rate them more highly.	1/4/2016 7:22 PM

Q7 How much importance do the following features of Claverham have for you?

Answered: 285 Skipped: 7



	High	Moderate	Low	Total	Weighted Average
The fields to the north of Chestnut Drive	76.06% 216	15.85% 45	8.10% 23	284	2.68
Safe streets for children's play	73.76% 208	18.44% 52	7.80% 22	282	2.66
Access to Cadbury Camp	70.65% 195	22.83% 63	6.52% 18	276	2.64
May Day use of field next to Village Hall	63.51% 181	29.82% 85	6.67% 19	285	2.57
Court-de-Wyck School field	58.87% 166	28.37% 80	12.77% 36	282	2.46
Broadcroft playing field	46.29% 131	38.16% 108	15.55% 44	283	2.31


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Cadbury hill fort,	1/18/2016 12:08 PM
2	Cadbury hill fort not 'camp'	1/18/2016 11:33 AM
3	Fields adjacent to bishops road, Fields by Hunts Farm	1/16/2016 5:08 PM
4	all fields within claverham boundary line. Cd Wyck has high importance but has already been lost	1/16/2016 3:58 PM
5	School field is now closed to the public.	1/16/2016 1:13 PM
6	All fields around Claverham	1/15/2016 1:55 PM
7	Footpaths across fields	1/15/2016 12:34 PM
8	Need space for 10 upwards (Open Space)	1/15/2016 12:15 PM
9	Cadbury Camp hill fort	1/15/2016 11:32 AM
10	All fields and open spaces in Claverham boundary	1/14/2016 5:06 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

11	Small shops	1/11/2016 5:25 PM
12	Cleeve cricket field - we can't think about Claverham without thinking about Cleeve	1/6/2016 7:31 AM
13	Sadly Court de Wyck Field is no longer open to village use. We used it a lot when it was. Other fields newar CdW and St Barnabas	1/4/2016 7:27 PM

Q8 How much importance do you put on the following areas for wildlife in and around Claverham?

Answered: 282 Skipped: 10



	High	Moderate	Low	Total	Weighted Average
Fields and public rights of way	89.36% 252	8.87% 25	1.77% 5	282	2.88
Lanes and hedgerows around the village	88.93% 249	10.36% 29	0.71% 2	280	2.88
The Moors and Rhynes	88.26% 248	9.96% 28	1.78% 5	281	2.86
Your own back garden	85.92% 238	13.00% 36	1.08% 3	277	2.85
Ponds and streams	85.46% 241	10.99% 31	3.55% 10	282	2.82


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Large gardens	1/27/2016 4:07 PM
2	Fields North of Chestnut Drive and West of Dunsters Road	1/16/2016 1:29 PM
3	All farmland and open spaces	1/16/2016 1:15 PM
4	The church grounds, the allotments	1/16/2016 11:34 AM
5	woods	1/15/2016 12:53 PM
6	Cadbury Hill	1/15/2016 12:41 PM
7	Trees for birds	1/15/2016 12:35 PM
8	Cadbury Hill	1/15/2016 12:00 PM
9	All areas where wildlife can flourish are very important	1/13/2016 7:10 PM
10	We see bats every night in the warmer months and hear owls around the fields north of Chestnut Drive. Hedgehogs, rats, badgers, sparrow hawks, herons, buzzards and countless smaller birds have been seen in and around our garden in Dunsters Rd.	1/12/2016 8:29 PM
11	open views	1/9/2016 2:59 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

12	These features must be the heritage we leave for future generations to enjoy.	1/6/2016 4:34 PM
13	Organic pasture for insects/birds/bats	1/2/2016 4:38 PM

Q9 What environmental issues need to be addressed in Claverham?

Answered: 281 Skipped: 11



	High	Moderate	Low	Total	Weighted Average
More efforts to protect the village from flooding.	70.46% 198	24.20% 68	5.34% 15	281	2.65
Improved countryside management (e.g. better hedgerow maintenance)	49.82% 139	41.58% 116	8.60% 24	279	2.41
More formally allocated open space for recreation (in addition to Broadcroft playing field)	51.44% 143	34.53% 96	14.03% 39	278	2.37
Better management of street lights for dark skies and wildlife	47.86% 134	40.36% 113	11.79% 33	280	2.36
Creation of better youth facilities	42.50% 119	47.14% 132	10.36% 29	280	2.32


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Lower speed limit	1/27/2016 4:08 PM
2	Highest priority - road surfaces are abysmal and need urgent repair or resurfacing	1/22/2016 5:17 PM
3	lights are too bright in UTC carpark, ditches and drains need to be cleared more regularly	1/18/2016 12:12 PM
4	farmers do a reasonable job with hedges, more needs to done with ditch clearance to help water run-off from higher land to moors	1/18/2016 11:38 AM
5	Noise, Traffic Speed, Size of Vehicles	1/17/2016 2:06 PM
6	Maintenance of footpaths, maintenance of road/lane edges	1/16/2016 4:01 PM
7	more off-street parking	1/16/2016 3:38 PM
8	Better management of roadside ditches helps with flood management	1/16/2016 1:15 PM
9	Hedgerow maintenance around public access is poor.	1/16/2016 1:14 PM
10	Too many people who leave their dogs barking. Some inappropriate parking on the road where chestnut drive meets the High Street	1/16/2016 11:37 AM
11	Sewage Management	1/15/2016 11:36 PM
12	Generation of food producing land	1/15/2016 1:56 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

13	Cut hedges more often	1/15/2016 12:35 PM
14	Better car park lighting at UTC (too bright for birds / bats), farmers need to dif drainage ditches deeper, more care with placing of high barns = permitted development for farmers	1/15/2016 11:35 AM
15	Decreased lane use by through traffic / commuters	1/15/2016 10:06 AM
16	tractors go too fast up claverham road	1/14/2016 2:49 PM
17	Too much dog mess on pavements & public footpaths. High.	1/13/2016 10:20 PM
18	Reduce traffic and it's speed	1/12/2016 8:31 PM
19	* Lighting very poor in Whitehouse Road	1/11/2016 11:14 AM
20	I like Broadcroft playing field and so do my grandchildren!	1/8/2016 12:25 PM
21	road repairs - potholes	1/4/2016 2:42 PM

Q10 Do you support new housing being built outside our current Settlement Boundary?

Answered: 275 Skipped: 17



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	27.27%	75
No	72.73%	200
Total		275


#	If Yes, where?	Date
1	The Bloor Homes development is enough, until bus services and facilities come with extra people, please see comment in travel section I.e. Roads are fine whilst houses numbers are Ltd.	1/27/2016 4:21 PM
2	Very limited number (see next question) to the north of Chestnut Drive or other areas	1/25/2016 5:03 PM
3	We should accept that controlled expansion should be considered as an option not simply rejected. Where? Wherever a sensible case can be made bearing in mind the existing use/infrastructure needs and flood potential	1/25/2016 11:23 AM
4	UTC Specific Factory site + filling in between other houses along roads not taking up fields for housing estates	1/25/2016 11:14 AM
5	next to the railway line	1/24/2016 1:16 PM
6	UTC Specific Current site for Claverham the business	1/24/2016 8:39 AM
7	UTC Specific On UTC Site	1/23/2016 2:14 PM
8	UTC Specific Brownfield site of ex factory	1/23/2016 1:58 PM
9	Ad Hoc locations according to need	1/22/2016 5:19 PM
10	UTC Specific Claverham UTC	1/22/2016 11:43 AM
11	UTC Specific On the employment zone when UTC leave	1/21/2016 12:02 PM
12	anywhere you could put them	1/20/2016 12:03 PM
13	I'm not sure	1/19/2016 9:03 AM
14	adjacent to boundary (within boundary there is space on land belonging to agricultural workers, for up to 5 houses))	1/18/2016 12:20 PM
15	adjacent to boundary - field by scout hut if it leads to new scout hut/youth hut	1/18/2016 11:44 AM
16	UTC Specific Claverham UTC	1/17/2016 5:15 PM
17	UTC Specific UTC Site	1/16/2016 6:08 PM
18	Anywhere	1/16/2016 5:16 PM
19	Anywhere - this country needs new homes	1/16/2016 4:29 PM
20	UTC Specific Factory Land when Available	1/16/2016 2:42 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

21	Infill Only (so None) as infill for small spaces between existing housing	1/16/2016 1:31 PM
22	UTC Specific In UTC employment zone	1/16/2016 1:29 PM
23	Greenbelt On left hand side of Bishops Road from Village Hall towards Cleeve	1/16/2016 12:13 PM
24	UTC Specific Claverham UTC	1/16/2016 12:06 PM
25	Land between the Village Hall and Cottage Farm or between the current employment zone and Cottage Farm	1/16/2016 11:40 AM
26	UTC Specific Unsure - UTC?	1/15/2016 1:34 PM
27	Side of village hall	1/15/2016 1:28 PM
28	Infill Only (so None) infill	1/15/2016 12:38 PM
29	Streamcross	1/15/2016 12:17 PM
30	touching boundary, non-flood area	1/15/2016 11:37 AM
31	Infill Only (so None) As infill - houses between properties not a field full of potential problems	1/15/2016 10:09 AM
32	UTC Specific Small settlements on UTC site	1/14/2016 5:14 PM
33	Greenbelt South of Claverham Road	1/14/2016 4:54 PM
34	Lovely field right next to the gladman site could fit a few houses, allotments, open space should the gladman site be given permission, after all all the dog walkers will be bringing their dogs onto our land to foul that if it happens.	1/14/2016 7:57 AM
35	UTC Specific The area marked employment zone on the map - Claverham Ltd is closing	1/12/2016 10:26 PM
36	Off roads such as Jasmine Lane or Streamcross	1/12/2016 9:57 PM
37	UTC Specific Employmeny zone/Factory	1/12/2016 8:05 PM
38	Amalgamating Claverham with Yatton or Claverham with Cleeve. Claverham unsustainable as to small to function as a viable settlement ie it can't support shops or facilities.	1/10/2016 3:59 PM
39	Anywhere within reason providing the development was not too large, preferably already developed or derelict land	1/10/2016 2:01 PM
40	UTC Specific On the employment zone site if no other employer takes it over	1/9/2016 12:29 PM
41	brownfield sites	1/9/2016 10:08 AM
42	Adjacent to the employment zone	1/9/2016 7:59 AM
43	Fields adjacent to Chestnut Drive and potentially Claverham UTC	1/8/2016 10:16 AM
44	Infill Only (so None) but as infills	1/7/2016 4:35 PM
45	limited housing in areas where there are already buildings such as barns	1/6/2016 7:36 AM
46	Greenbelt Fields between Cleeve and Claverham UTC (but not in addition to proposed Chestnut Drive development)	1/5/2016 8:09 PM
47	wherever there is appropriate space	1/5/2016 6:26 PM
48	Anywhere where which is deemed suitable and doesn't have an impact on traffic volume or compound the flooding issues of the village or have a detrimental effect on wildlife. Any existing employment area would be of good use for housing.	1/5/2016 12:43 PM
49	Infill Only (so None) N/A	1/5/2016 10:22 AM
50	but it depends where. Any site must have minimum environmental impact and not unduly add to traffic. Single builds dotted about would be fine by me	1/4/2016 7:34 PM
51	Hard to say where there would be room. Not very helpful I know.	1/4/2016 7:26 PM
52	UTC Specific On Claverham UTC site when it closes	1/4/2016 6:38 PM
53	UTC Specific Where the works are	1/3/2016 3:37 PM

Q11 Up to what total number of houses would you support being built outside our current settlement boundary?

Answered: 255 Skipped: 37



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
None	56.47%	144
Up to 5	10.98%	28
Up to 10	10.59%	27
Up to 15	10.59%	27
More than 15	11.37%	29
Total		255


#	If more than 15, how many?	Date
1	Perhaps up to 20 and most should be affordable homes. The Gladman proposal for 70 is far too many, and not enough affordable houses.	1/25/2016 5:03 PM
2	Impossible to put a figure on this some infill options even one could generate 20+	1/25/2016 11:23 AM
3	As marked but Only on factory site	1/25/2016 11:14 AM
4	100	1/24/2016 1:16 PM
5	according to need	1/22/2016 5:19 PM
6	On the Claverham utc site provided the listed properties are respected and the field is maintained for village use	1/22/2016 9:34 AM
7	30 to 60	1/20/2016 12:03 PM
8	50	1/17/2016 5:15 PM
9	25	1/16/2016 6:08 PM
10	20 - 30	1/16/2016 5:32 PM
11	25	1/16/2016 5:16 PM
12	20	1/16/2016 4:39 PM
13	1000	1/16/2016 4:29 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

14	40/50	1/16/2016 2:42 PM
15	50	1/16/2016 1:29 PM
16	40	1/16/2016 11:40 AM
17	5 per year	1/15/2016 12:17 PM
18	The minimum limit to give us affordable	1/15/2016 11:37 AM
19	20	1/14/2016 5:14 PM
20	No more than 30 in any individual application. Claverham can grow considerably, the school has a huge area to expand into, and nothing locally is stretched.	1/14/2016 7:57 AM
21	30	1/12/2016 10:26 PM
22	30	1/12/2016 9:57 PM
23	50	1/12/2016 8:05 PM
24	Housing needed in its 100s or even 1000s	1/10/2016 3:59 PM
25	Provided not all in one location, unless on a brown site	1/10/2016 2:01 PM
26	100	1/10/2016 8:59 AM
27	Less than 50	1/9/2016 2:08 PM
28	more than 15 if the employment zone is deveoped	1/9/2016 12:29 PM
29	40	1/9/2016 10:08 AM
30	approx 50	1/8/2016 10:16 AM
31	25	1/6/2016 5:38 PM
32	25	1/6/2016 7:36 AM
33	40	1/5/2016 8:09 PM
34	to meet need of the area and contribute to much needed additional housing - 100+	1/5/2016 6:26 PM
35	50	1/5/2016 12:18 PM
36	If the UTC site (outside the boundary) was developed I woud want to see low numbers of housing, possibly commercial and recreational use of the site	1/4/2016 7:34 PM
37	40	1/4/2016 6:38 PM
38	25	1/3/2016 3:37 PM

Q12 Should any new development (inside or outside the Settlement Boundary) include


Answered: 258 Skipped: 34



Answer Choices	Responses	
Only Houses	53.88%	139
Housing and Commercial	44.57%	115
Only Commercial	1.55%	4
Total		258

Q13 Should any new development only allow housing for Social/Low Cost/Affordable/Starter Homes?


Answered: 264 Skipped: 28



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	44.32% 117
No	55.68% 147
Total	264

Q14 Should division of existing properties to create extra housing be allowed?


Answered: 268 Skipped: 24



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	66.79%	179
No	33.21%	89
Total		268

Q15 Should demolition of existing properties to build several/more houses on a site be allowed?


Answered: 263 Skipped: 29



Answer Choices	Responses	
No	52.09%	137
Yes	47.91%	126
Total		263

Q16 Should new houses of three-storeys or higher be allowed?


Answered: 271 Skipped: 21



Answer Choices	Responses
No	88.19% 239
Yes	11.81% 32
Total	271

Q17 Should New Houses mainly be


Answered: 270 Skipped: 22



Answer Choices	Responses
A mixture of sizes?	77.78% 210
One of two bedrooms?	16.30% 44
Three of four bedrooms?	5.93% 16
Total	270

Q18 Should Houses have adequate off-street parking/full-size garages for local levels of car ownership?


Answered: 274 Skipped: 18



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	96.35%	264
No	3.65%	10
Total		274

Q19 Should Housing styles be in keeping with surrounding character?


Answered: 273 Skipped: 19



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	93.77% 256
No	6.23% 17
Total	273

Q20 Should New Houses be energy-efficient/carbon neutral?


Answered: 273 Skipped: 19



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	94.51% 258
No	5.49% 15
Total	273

Q21 Claverham UTC: If this business moves and the site is sold what use would you prefer the Employment site to be used for? (This is the area with UTC factory buildings at present, not the rear field or the Village hall or front field). This site is OUTSIDE our Settlement Boundary but adjacent.


Answered: 274 Skipped: 18



Answer Choices	Responses	
Mixed use	53.28%	146
Continue Employment Use	31.39%	86
Housing	15.33%	42
Total		274

Q22 Should traffic-calming measures be introduced along Claverham Road? (Tick all applicable)


Answered: 273 Skipped: 19



Answer Choices	Responses	
Reduced speed limit	43.22%	118
Chicanes	37.73%	103
No	27.11%	74
Speed bumps	27.11%	74
Total Respondents: 273		

Q23 Should the pavement along Claverham Road be improved / widened for pedestrians, children and the infirm?


Answered: 270 Skipped: 22



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	79.26% 214
No	20.74% 56
Total	270

Q24 Would you accept lengths of single-lane road to improve pavement widths? (Bus-width maintained)?


Answered: 271 Skipped: 21



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	64.58%	175
No	35.42%	96
Total		271

Q25 Should car parking in the High Street be restricted to one side of the road?


Answered: 273 Skipped: 19



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	65.20%	178
No	34.80%	95
Total		273

Q26 Should unregulated on-street parking be preserved in Claverham? (for business and residents)?


Answered: 271 Skipped: 21



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	83.39% 226
No	16.61% 45
Total	271

Q27 Should attempts be made to improve the bus service in Claverham?


Answered: 271 Skipped: 21



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	76.75%	208
No	23.25%	63
Total		271

Q28 Should the footpath from Hunts Lane to Yatton be improved?


Answered: 253 Skipped: 39



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	72.33%	183
No	27.67%	70
Total		253

Q29 Should a bicycle stand be introduced on the green verge at the junction of Streamcross and Chestnut Drive for the use of school children to catch the Backwell school buses?


Answered: 258 Skipped: 34



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	65.50%	169
No	34.50%	89
Total		258

Q30 What Additional Facilities would you support being built? (Tick any that apply)

Answered: 237 Skipped: 55



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Shop	78.06%	185
Medical	67.09%	159
Business	30.80%	73
Childcare	21.10%	50
Other (please specify)	13.50%	32
Total Respondents: 237		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Possibly a clinic in free church or village hall	1/25/2016 11:15 AM
2	Post Office	1/23/2016 2:48 PM
3	Post Office Village Store	1/23/2016 2:06 PM
4	All of above according to need if significant housing development proposed, but the problems highlighted need to be resolved first	1/22/2016 5:22 PM
5	A general store would be great like we used to have in the days of old post office	1/22/2016 9:38 AM
6	None	1/21/2016 2:10 PM
7	multi-use small hall eg. youth centre/cub-scout hall	1/18/2016 12:23 PM
8	youth centre	1/18/2016 11:48 AM
9	redevelopment of current sites	1/16/2016 4:04 PM
10	New doctor's surgery for the area	1/16/2016 1:18 PM
11	Some urgent work to re-surface the class three road from the start of the road at Cleeve (junc with the A370 to Stockhams Corner is urgently needed. The current road surface is a disgrace A question here needs to be addressed to North Somerset Council's guru Elvyn ap Rees	1/15/2016 2:46 PM
12	as an infill village Claverham doesn't have viable additional facilities capability	1/15/2016 1:58 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

13	None	1/15/2016 1:29 PM
14	Pharmacy	1/15/2016 12:06 PM
15	Youth Hut	1/15/2016 11:41 AM
16	I have lived in Claverham for 52yrs and wish to preserve for others the features that attracted my husband and I all those years ago.	1/15/2016 10:20 AM
17	Youth facility	1/14/2016 5:18 PM
18	Grocers	1/14/2016 2:55 PM
19	None	1/14/2016 1:32 PM
20	To be honest I don't see enough demand for anything. People travel for shops, we have excellent doctors in yatton, plenty of business units dotted around.	1/14/2016 8:00 AM
21	None	1/13/2016 12:42 PM
22	Outdoor space for exercise. We are constantly advised to get more exercise. More facilities to allow residents to participate in any type of sport, walking, cycling, rounders, jogging, boules, cricket, football. Anything you can do in a dedicated open space to help in the time bomb awaiting the NHS unless we all take more exercise. Improving footpath or cycle path through Hunts Lane would encourage less car usage.	1/12/2016 8:41 PM
23	offices or other business but NOT industrial/Factory	1/12/2016 8:10 PM
24	post office	1/12/2016 7:35 PM
25	vegetable store providing fresh local produce	1/12/2016 3:50 PM
26	Restaurant / cafe / take away	1/11/2016 7:06 PM
27	This will only be possible with significant more housing and even then if unrestricted car ownership people will drive to larger centres to shop and work.	1/10/2016 4:01 PM
28	Recreational facilities - sports field	1/8/2016 10:18 AM
29	We have lost the Post Office, Claverham does not have an acceptable community support structure, shops etc so it cannot support additional housing and the resulting population growth.	1/6/2016 4:47 PM
30	any business which promotes local jobs	1/6/2016 7:38 AM
31	Recreational such as a youth hub	1/4/2016 7:37 PM
32	Sports field near to village hall	1/3/2016 9:48 PM

Claverham Neighbourhood Plan Survey

Q31 Provide your own answer here:

Answered: 10 Skipped: 282

#	Responses	Date
1	Reduce speed limit	1/27/2016 4:10 PM
2	ensuring roads are free of flood and obstruction e.g. horses and bikes passing parked cars	1/15/2016 11:40 PM
3	high speed internet access	1/14/2016 4:46 PM
4	Larger family homes that want cleaners!	1/14/2016 2:56 PM
5	big family homes who can spend money at local shops	1/14/2016 2:51 PM
6	None	1/14/2016 1:32 PM
7	Internet, FTTC may be here but the length of copper wire between the cabinet and a lot of claverham's properties is so poor that fibre is unavailable.	1/14/2016 8:03 AM
8	Dental Practice	1/12/2016 8:11 PM
9	better broadband	1/6/2016 2:33 PM
10	Due to the nature of the business, work is done either from home or outside the village. The technical infrastructure e.g. reliable fibre broadband at a good speed is a critical element. Recent upgrades to exchange cabinets, still see the final end of the service going down copper wire; with 'super fast' speeds already available, I am concerned that only a 'fibre to premises' solution will enable us to maintain position over the medium term.	1/5/2016 10:29 AM